

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/580,023	Applicant(s) POUILQUEN ET AL.
	Examiner SATYANARAYANA GUDIBANDE	Art Unit 1654
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>SATYANARAYANA GUDIBANDE</u> .	(3) <u>Mr. Laskoski</u> .	
(2) <u>Ms. Lacy Kolo</u> .	(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>29 April 2011</u>	Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>DP</i>		
Claims discussed: <i>26-29 and 34</i>		
Prior art documents discussed: <i>None</i>		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Ms. Kolo and Mr. Laskoski was contacted with an examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Laskoski agreed to the proposed amendments on 5/19/11.</i>		
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
<i>/SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654</i>		
<i>(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</i>		